



**ZAMBIA NATIONAL POSITION PAPER**  
**Video Conference on High Value Agriculture in Eastern and Southern Africa:**  
***Smallholders Involvement in Commercial Agriculture/Horticulture in Zambia***

By Dr. Mebelo Mataa<sup>1</sup> and Mr. Munguzwe Hichaambwa<sup>2</sup>

---

**1. Present status of involvement of smallholders in horticultural production;**

The Horticulture and Floriculture sectors are of great importance to the Zambian economy in that it not only contribute to poverty reduction but also generate employment. At its peak (2006), the sector employed over 12,000 people of which more than 50% were women. These women are widely engaged during growing and packing stages of the chain. Most of the employees on the horticultural /floriculture farms support an average extended family, which effectively increases the total number of people whose livelihoods depend on earnings from these sectors.

Furthermore, some horticultural farms engage vegetable out growers, both individual farmers and cooperatives. This is also another way of economically empowering low-income groups in the country. The firms assist with provision of seeds, chemicals, fertilizers, training and other forms of technical support and strictly supervise the growing of vegetables to ensure that European Union (EU) phytosanitary standards are met. Thus, apart from ensuring food security for small growers, economic empowerment is guaranteed

During the year 2006, the sectors contributed a total of 12.9% to the non-traditional exports (NTE) earnings and as such, horticulture and floriculture earn the country the much-needed foreign exchange and contribute to government tax revenues. The horticulture sector through the NRDC ZEGA Training Trust was a major contributor to training of Zambians in export horticulture and it is an important supplier of horticultural/floricultural products to the EU market. Export earnings for the two sectors in the years 2001 to 2005 are shown in **Table 1**.

**Table 1: Trends in export revenue from the horticultural sector in Zambia (in US'000)**

Year	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Sector revenue	45,969	35,851	20,508	23,024	37,252	36,350	16,623
Share (%) of total NTE	11.1	7.4	3.6	3.0	4.5	3.0	1.2

*Source: Zambia Development Agency*

---

<sup>1</sup> Lecturer, University of Zambia School of Agricultural Sciences

<sup>2</sup> Research Fellow, Food Security Research Project

In 2005, the Horticultural Products Sector registered a decline in export earnings from US\$ 35,851,258 recorded in the previous year to US\$20,507,670. The sector reached its peak in 2003 with export earnings amounting to US\$45,969,290 but has since been experiencing a decline in both export volume and earnings. Its total contribution to total NTEs in 2005 reduced to 3.63% as compared to 7.39% in 2004. The decrease in exports over the past years could largely be attributed to non-expansion in production, reduced volumes from small holders due to cessation of support to out growers by one of the major producers and inelastic prices in traditional markets. This has further been compounded by the high freight costs. Nearly all export vegetables and flowers are produced by medium- and large-scale farmers under outgrower schemes in limited geographical areas. Medium scale farmer involvement this sector sharply declined following the demise of Agriflora around 2004/5 which was by then the largest outgrowing company. Information about the export horticulture sector is ably captured by ZEGA, and well reported by the Zambia Development Agency among other institutions.

There is greater smallholder involvement in the domestic horticulture sector. According to a nationally representative survey conducted in 2008 by the Central Statistical Office, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives and the Food Security Research Project, about 38% of the 1,700,000 small and medium scale farmers in Zambia produce fresh produce and 18% of the total number of the all the small and medium scale farmers sell fresh produce (see **Table 2**). Table 3 shows the level of fresh produce market participation by the category of the smallholder farmers. All market participation indicators are more favourable for the medium scale farmers than their small scale counterparts.

**Table 2: Smallholder market participation in fresh produce**

Market participation	Number of Farmers	Proportion (%)	Cumulative Percent
Did not produce fresh produce	1,039,614	62.3	62.3
Produced fresh produce but did not sell	332,253	19.9	82.2
Produced and sold fresh produce	297,995	17.8	100.0
Total	1,669,861	100.0	

*Source: CSO/MACO/FSRP Third Supplemental Survey, 2008*

**Table 2: Smallholder market participation in fresh produce by category**

	Smallholder category		
	Small scale holding	Medium scale holding	Total
Did not produce fresh produce	62.4	58.1	62.3
Produced fresh produce but did not sell	20.0	17.1	19.9
Produced and sold fresh produce	17.6	24.7	17.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0

*Source: CSO/MACO/FSRP Third Supplemental Survey, 2008*

In spite of the above, the smallscale smallholder account for 89% and 84% of the total value of fresh production and sales respectively among the smallholder farmers (**Table 3**). Further more, it is important to note that the total value of sales among the smallholder farmers (both categories) was much larger that of the export sector reported in **Table 1**. Though the proportion of smallholder farmers participating in fresh produce supply chains has remain about 18 – 20 % in the last ten years, the total value of sales has been increasing as was captured by the three nation-wide supplemental surveys conducted by the Central Statistical


Office, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives and the Food Security Research Project (see **Figure 1**). This survey is the only one that captures comprehensive and reliable smallholder agricultural production (especially horticulture) and is conducted after every four years with the fourth survey expected to be conducted in 2012.

**Table 3: Value of smallholder fresh produce and marketing**

Smallholder category	Value of production (US\$'000)	Value of sales (US\$'000)
Small scale holding	150,833	83,205
Medium scale holding	18,658	16,327
Total	169,490	99,532

*Source: CSO/MACO/FSRP Third Supplemental Survey, 2008*

**Figure 1: Trends in value of sales of fresh produce by smallholder farmers**



*Source: CSO/MACO/FSRP First Second and Third Supplemental Surveys, 2001, 2004 and 2008 respectively*

Smallholder participation in horticultural supply chains has great potential for rural poverty eradication and general national development. National survey data in Zambia shows that smallholder farmers who produce and sell fresh produce are more likely to move out of poverty. They earn an annual mean per capita income of US\$183 compared to US\$139 for non-sellers. The amount of income earned actually more than doubles to US\$384 among the top quintile of fresh produce sellers. Fresh produce account for 18% of total smallholder income and 39% of the total smallholder cash income. Smallholder fresh produce producers

are the ones that are actively involved in regional trade though quite often much of it not captured in statistics/data.

In spite of the above, there has been no national organisation or committee addressing issues to smallholders' increased access to markets, inputs or capacity building. It is hoped that these issues will be embraced by the Horticultural Working Group still in formative stages and later the Horticultural Association of Zambia when it is formed. On the production side, however, there have been projects or programmes aimed at developing smallholder irrigation but these have largely ended the facilitation at the production level neglecting the much needed market linkages. A number of private and non-governmental organisations are running programmes aimed at promotion of cheap irrigation technologies among smallholder farmers which are also centred on market linkages. The International Development Enterprises (IDE) has been running such programmes for about a decade now has reported significant increases in household income.

Expertise to address issues related to smallholder participation in horticultural supply chains is available in the national universities, colleges and research institutions. These are all Government institutions drawing funds from the national budget while the FSRP is solely funded by cooperating partners.

## **2. Present policy and policy management for smallholders' involvement in horticulture;**

Zambia developed an irrigation policy and strategy for the development of the irrigation sector in Zambia in 2004. This policy document attempts to address various issues as they pertain to the irrigation sector but does not pay any particular focus to the peculiar requirements of fresh produce supply chains especially among smallholder farmers. Stakeholders are beginning to realise the significance of smallholder participation in supply chains especially in light of rapid urbanisation. It is for this reason that a number of organisations such as the IDE are promoting low cost irrigation technologies among smallholder farmers as well as facilitating market linkages. Work by the FSRP has shown that the fresh produce market share of supermarkets in urban Zambia is 3.1% ranging from 0.4% to 9.1% from the lowest to the highest income quintiles. This means that the traditional market system accounts for over 90% of the fresh produce market share. This system also accounts for about 85% of the fresh produce market share among the highest income quintile.

These traditional fresh produce market systems are largely supplied by smallholder farmers and they are end up supplying regional markets as well. So poverty reduction at a reasonable scale through horticulture has to take place through traditional channels serving domestic and regional markets. But these systems suffer for very little investment in both hard and soft infrastructure. The systems need appropriate and adequate investments.

Horticulture offer opportunities for smallholders as an avenue for poverty reduction for land constrained farmers. But land constrained farmers tend to poor in income and assets (including education) while horticultural production requires high use of cash inputs and knowledge which lets out a countervailing force. Nevertheless horticulture provides cash income to smallholder farmers not selling maize or other cash crops.

Outreach activities by the FSRP complimented by participation in these video conference has emphasised the need for a national institution which will spearhead the development of the

sector and will pay particular attention to facilitating increased smallholder participation in the supply chains as well as improvement of the traditional market systems at the wholesale level. While a number of fragmented programmes across the country are in place mobilising smallholder farmers in the horticultural sector national efforts are yet to be put in place for enhanced results. The Farmer Organisation Support Project (FOSUP) for example is an umbrella organisation for a number of smallholder farmer organisations and associations dealing in all issues relating to the agriculture sector and has never had any dedicated attention to horticultural development issues. Most of the programmes mobilising farmers in low cost irrigation and market linkages are donor funded and are yielding positive results but more can be done at the national level. Outgrower schemes are also assisting smallholder farmers participate in export horticulture through production management and localisation of certification of standards. Notable among these are services provided through ZEGA and the Organic Producers and Processors Association of Zambia (OPPAZ).

### **3. On-going strategy at regional level.**

The national institution specifically for the horticulture sector will mobilise/coordinate any necessary actions needed for the development of the sector. This institution will at the same time have communication channels to the region through the COMESA network. Some of the issues that will need addressing are:

- Improving quality/standards volumes produced for local, regional and international markets
- Simplifying and increasing access to finance for all necessary activities across the supply chains
- Developing and implementing training skills programmes at each stage of the value chain rather than focus on some parts of the chain as has mostly been the case at production
- Facilitating and strengthen flow of market information and products visibility
- Developing appropriate production technologies that cost competitive and climate smart
- Well developed communication and transportation systems to get the product to the market quickly before substantial post harvest losses are incurred considering the perishable nature of the produce.
- Promoting processing facilities at various scales in order to develop products that have longer shelf lives and create additional employment opportunities
- Improved and more focused extension services, considering that the sector is quite specialised, through Public, Private sector partnerships (PPP).

### **References**

- Mwale, M, C. H. Chizyuka, S. B. Sokotela, K. P. Banda and A. Matsuda. 2009. Participatory Village Development in Isolated Areas (PaVIDA). Vol. 3. Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives/ Japanese International Cooperation Agency.
- Serageldin, I. 2004. Nurturing and nourishing the world's poor: Important roles for horticulture in sustainable development. In (Ed Looney, N. E.) Proceedings XXVI IHC. Acta Hort.642. ISHS.
- Mataa, M. 2010. Opportunities and Challenges of horticultural Research and development in Zambia. Zambia Journal of Agricultural Science (under review).
- Mataa, M. and S. Chilembo. 2009. Interaction of preharvest and postharvest practices on internal fruit quality in mango (*Mangifera indica*). University of Swaziland Journal of Agriculture, Science & Technology. 12: 129- 137.